



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/271,503	03/18/1999	KENICHI MORITA	325772008700	4229

7590 02/12/2003

Morrison & Foerster LLP
1650 Tysons Boulevard
Suite 300
McLean, VA 22102

EXAMINER

MITCHELL, MONICA J

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2622

DATE MAILED: 02/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/271,503

Applicant(s)

MORITA ET AL.

Examiner

Monica J. Mitchell

Art Unit

2622

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on November 26, 2002 (amendment).
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 13-15 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7, 9, 12, 16 and 18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 8, 10, 11 and 17 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☒ The proposed drawing correction filed on 26 November 2002 is: a) ☒ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 and 16-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-7, 9, 12, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tanaka et al (U.S. Patent Number 4,658,299).

Regarding claim 1, Tanaka discloses an imaging processor comprising: a plurality of function blocks (Figure 2, reference elements 1, 2, 3, 42 and 7) connectable to each other and dealing with image data (column 4, lines 20-26); an interface (Figure 2, reference element 37) connected to a network (column 4, lines 1-6; Fig. 2 shows reference elements 36 and 37 are connected through bus 41, with element 36 being able to communicate with a network); and a bus changer (Figure 2, reference element 9) which changes bus connections among said plurality of function blocks (column 5, line 33-35) and said interface (column 4, lines 5-6).

Regarding claim 2, Tanaka discloses the image processor wherein said plurality of function blocks comprise an image input block which receives image data (column 2, line 67 to column 3, line 3 and column 3, lines 12-17), an image processing block which

Art Unit: 2622

deals with image data (column 2, line 55 to column 3 line 3 and column 3, lines 12-17), and an image output block which outputs the image data (column 3, lines 4-8).

Regarding claim 3, Tanaka discloses the image processor wherein said image input block receives image data read with an image sensor (column 3, lines 12-17).

Regarding claim 4, Tanaka discloses the image processor wherein said image output block prints an image on a registering medium (column 3, lines 4-8).

Regarding claim 5, Tanaka discloses the image processor wherein said bus changer which is connected to the image input block changes the bus connection such that image data from said network is received through said interface (column 4, lines 30-38; Fig. 2 shows reference elements 36 and 37 are connected through bus 41, with element 36 being able to communicate with a network) sent to said network through said image output block or said interface (column 4, lines 30-38; Fig. 2 shows reference elements 36 and 37 are connected through bus 41, with element 36 being able to communicate with a network).

Regarding claim 6, Tanaka discloses the image processor wherein one of said function blocks comprises a memory (Figure 2, reference element 33 and 35) which stores an application program (column 3, lines 60-62 and column 3, lines 66-68) and a controller (Figure 2, reference element 32) which processes the image data according to the application program (column 3, lines 60-62).

Regarding claim 7, Tanaka inherently discloses the image processor wherein said memory has a capacity which stores another application program further (column 3, lines 66-68).

Regarding claim 9, Tanaka discloses an imaging processor comprising: a plurality of function blocks (Figure 2, reference elements 1, 2, 3, 42 and 7) connectable to each other and dealing with image data (column 4, lines 20-26); an interface (Figure 2, reference element 37) connected to a network (column 4, lines 1-6; Fig. 2 shows reference elements 36 and 37 are connected through bus 41, with element 36 being able to communicate with a network); a bus changer (Figure 2, reference element 9) which changes bus connections among said plurality of function blocks (column 5, line 33-35) and said interface (column 4, lines 5-6); and a controller (Figure 2, reference element 32) which discriminates data received from said network and controls data transmission to one of the function blocks to be operated (column 4, line 61 to column 5, line 6).

Regarding claim 12, Tanaka discloses the image processor wherein said plurality of function blocks comprise an image input block which receives image data (column 2, line 67 to column 3, line 3 and column 3, lines 12-17), an image processing block which deals with image data (column 2, line 55 to column 3 line 3 and column 3, lines 12-17), and an image output block which outputs the image data (column 3, lines 4-8).

Regarding claim 16, Tanaka discloses a method of controlling image processing in an image processor including a plurality of function blocks, comprising following steps of: receiving a request to perform a function (column 3 lines 55-57); deciding whether the function is executable in said image processor (column 5, lines 3-6); and changing bus connection between a necessary function block and said interface to operate an external apparatus connected through an interface connectable to said network when

the function is decided not executable in said image processor (column 4, line 61 to column 5, line 2).

Regarding claim 18, Tanaka discloses the method further comprising the step of sending a signal to request execution of the function to the external image processor (column 4, line 61 to column 5, line 2).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 13-15 are allowed.
5. Claims 8, 10, 11 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica J. Mitchell whose telephone number is 703-306-3430. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 7:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L. Coles can be reached on 703-305-4712. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-3455 for regular communications and 703-746-3455 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

Application/Control Number: 09/271,503
Art Unit: 2622

Page 6

mjm
February 9, 2003


EDWARD COLES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600